IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.20206 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: December 19, 2006

Sneh Lata

….Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

PRESENT: Shri Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner at some length, we find that respondent No.6 has already been appointed. The appointment of respondent No.6 has not been challenged by the petitioner in any manner.

In these circumstances, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the present petition.

The present petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to seek his appropriate remedies, in accordance with law, by making a specific challenge to the appointment of respondent No.6, if so advised.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

December 19, 2006 (M.M.S. Bedi)

KD Judge